UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/725,595	12/03/2003	Jae-Jin Lyu	21C-0334	4849	
23413 CANTOR COL	7590 05/07/200 BURN, LLP	EXAMINER			
20 Church Stree		CHEN, WEN YING PATTY			
22nd Floor Hartford, CT 06	5103	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2871		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/07/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptopatentmail@cantorcolburn.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/725,595	LYU, JAE-JIN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
LXammer	Artonic	

	WEN-Y	ING PATTY CHEN	28/1				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ears on t	he cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>09 March 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PPLICATI	ON IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (eal (with a	1) an amendment, affidavit appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, whith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of th	ne final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Adaler than S	ction, or (2) the date set forth i SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slaset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension an shortened than three	d the corresponding amount o statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	liance wi	th 37 CFR 41.37 must be f	iled within two months	s of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	nsion the	reof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	but prior	to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause			
(a) $oxtime$ They raise new issues that would require further con	nsideratio						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	ter form t	or appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying tl	ne issues for			
appeal; and/or	oorroonoi	ading number of finally rais	atad alaima				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11	-	-	cied ciaims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		,	nnliant Amondment (DTOL 324)			
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		illached Notice of Non-Col	ripliant Amendment (i	F 1 OL-324).			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed the proposed or amended the proposed or am		submitted in a congrete t	imely filed amendmer	ot canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s).	iowabie ii	submitted in a separate, t	illiely liled afficildifier	it canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>36</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>5,6 and 37-40</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>8-32</u> .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	t bafara .	er on the data of filing a Na	tics of Annaal will not	be entered			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome	all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	NI	OT place the application in	andition for allower				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does in	or place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (label{eq:statement}).	(PTO/SB	/08) Paper No(s)					
/David Nelms/	1.	V. PATTY CHEN					
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2871	E	Examiner Art Unit: 2871					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Although the amended claim 5 appears to be allowable for containing previously indicated allowable subject matter, however, the amended claim 6 containing the same allowable subject matter requires further consideration. Furthermore, the amended limitation of "wherein the cholesteric liquid crystal material has a function of a biaxial film" as presented in claim 6 lacks antecedent basis.